

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/530,983	09/530,983 05/08/2000		GUSTAVO DECO	P000861	5072
21171	7590	06/22/2005	•	EXAMINER	
STAAS & SUITE 700		Y LLP			
1201 NEW	YORK A	VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	GTON, DO	20005		•	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

mh

	· · ·	, .bb
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	09/530,983	DECO ET A
(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit

Application No.

09/530,983

Examiner

Frances P. Oropeza

Applicant(s)

DECO ET AL.

Art Unit

3762

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>7/28/04 and 1/19/05</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

PERIO	DD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
1. 🔲	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🗆	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function unde 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
ŝ. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).

7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).

8. The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).

The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).

10. ☐ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Statement in Brief that Claims do not Stand or Fall Togerther - Supporting Reasons Lacking - See Attachment...

6/7/05

ANGELA D. SYKES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

angel D. Sh.

FRANCES P. OLOPERA PATENT EXAMINER NOT UNIT 3762 Art Unit: 3762

NONCOMPLIANT BRIEFS

Noncompliant Briefs

1. The brief of 7/28/04 and the supplemental brief of 1/19/05 include a statement that claims 1-18 do not stand or fall together, but fails to present reasons in support thereof as required under 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7). MPEP § 1206.

It is noted the Appellant uses the statement "stands and falls" versus --stands or falls--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Fran Oropeza whose telephone number is (571) 272-4953. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communication and for After Final communications.

Frances P. Oropeza Patent Examiner Art Unit 3762

gru 6/7/05